-PS-O-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BENJAMIN POWELL,

Plaintiff,

-V-

DECISION AND ORDER 04-CV-0926A

SHERIFF THOMAS BEILEIN,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Benjamin Powell, who was being held at the Niagara County Jail pending federal charges in this Court, claims that defendant, Thomas Beilein, the Sheriff of Niagara County, who is responsible for the Niagara County Jail, violated his rights while he was held at the Jail by (1) not providing lighting fixtures and proper heating in the cell block where he is housed; (2) removing stamps on letters that are returned for insufficient postage and not having an adequate law library; and (3) not allowing inmates in punitive segregation to have lotion and hair grease in order to maintain proper skin and scalp hygiene. Following review of the complaint, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A, the Court (Hon. David G. Larimer) held that the claims as pled failed to state claims upon which relief could be granted and that the complaint would be dismissed unless plaintiff filed an amended complaint by May 25, 2005. (Docket No. 5). Judge Larimer also denied plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. Plaintiff now seeks an expedited hearing and what appears to be a preliminary injunction directing defendant to remedy the constitutional violations alleged in the complaint and set forth above. Plaintiff also again seeks the appointment of counsel. (Docket No. 6).

Case 1:04-cv-00926-RJA Document 7 Filed 05/09/05 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff's request for injunctive relief must be denied because he has recently

informed the Court that he is no longer being held at the Niagara County Jail and has been

transferred to the Erie County Holding Center. His claims for injunctive relief are therefore

moot. See, e.g., Prins v. Coughlin, 76 F.3d 504, 506 (2d Cir. 1996) (It is well settled "in this

Circuit that a transfer from a prison facility moots a [request] for injunctive relief against the

transferring facility."). Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is also denied without

prejudice for the same reasons set forth in the Decision and Order of Judge Larimer

(Docket No. 5) previously denying said relief.

Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for an expedited hearing, preliminary injunctive relief

and the appointment of counsel (Docket No. 6) is denied.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

May 9, 2005

Rochester, New York

/s/ Charles J. Siragusa

CHARLES J. SIRAGUSA

United States District Judge

2